Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,024	BORNEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KATIE L. HAMMER	1761	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>			
(1) <i>KATIE L. HAMMER</i> .	(3)		
(2) <u>TIMOTHY BALTS</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 June 2011	Time: <u>9:30 am</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1, 14-15, 17, 23, 25			
Prior art documents discussed:  None			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/KLH/ Katie L. Hammer, Art Unit 1761 June 16, 2011 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to comply with the U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for adequate written description, as the word "matrix" was not in the specification, nor is there support for the R straight-chain or branched-chain alkyl residue to not have 23 to 35 carbon atoms.